

REMARKS

Substance of Interview

Applicants thank the Examiner and her supervisor for the in-office interview held on February 10, 2004. The participants included Mylihn Tran and Kristine Kincaid of the USPTO and the applicants and applicant's representatives, David Miranda, Frank Occhiuti, Julian Orbanes, and Max Riesenhuber. To ensure a complete and proper recordation of the substance of the interview on, the following remarks are submitted in accordance with MPEP § 713.04.

A) Demonstrations were conducted showing a product that contains some embodiments of the inventions. Cell phones and PDA's were used to show the power of the interface and how it differed from Strasnick.

B) Claim 1 was primarily discussed.

C) Strasnick et al. (USPN 5,671,381).

D) There were no principle proposed amendments. Applicants pointed out differences from Strasnick and the Examiners pointed out whether or not such differences were included in the broadest claims.

E) The general thrust of the arguments was that the prior art of record failed to demonstrate applicant's claimed process where the data objects are converted from one language to another language specifically adapted for locating data objects within a virtual space.

F) See the Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner re other cases also discussed.

G) No agreement was reached.

H) Not applicable to an in-office interview.

Specification-

Applicants have amended the abstract as suggested.

Double Patenting-

**BEST AVAILABLE COPY**

Applicant : Orbanes et al.  
Serial No. : 09/782,966  
Filed : February 14, 2001  
Page : 10 of 11

Attorney's Docket No.: 15578-019001

Applicants shall file a disclaimer should patentable subject matter be identified.

#### Claim Rejections-

The examiner rejected claims 1-20 under 35 U.S.C. § 102 as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,671,3871 to Strasnick et al. ("Strasnick"). Strasnick does not anticipate each and every claim limitation of applicant's invention. Currently amended claim 1 recites converting said plurality of data objects to a second language format associated with a virtual space by locating each of said plurality of data objects in said virtual space, based at least in part on said spatial paradigm, said second language format being a format adapted for substantially unrestricted searching by a user in said virtual space.

In contrast to the applicants' invention, Strasnick does not convert data objects from one language to another. For at least these reasons, claims 1 and 13, and all of their dependent claims distinguishes from Strasnick.

The applicant asks that all claims be allowed.

Enclosed is a \$475 check for the Petition for Extension of Time fee. Please apply any other charges or credits to deposit account 06-1050.

**BEST AVAILABLE COPY**


Applicant : Orbanes et al.  
Serial No. : 09/782,966  
Filed : February 14, 2001  
Page : 11 of 11

Attorney's Docket No.: 15578-019001

Respectfully submitted,

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

5/10/04

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read "David Miranda", written over a horizontal line.

David Miranda  
Reg. No. 42,898

Fish & Richardson P.C.  
225 Franklin Street  
Boston, MA 02110-2804  
Telephone: (617) 542-5070  
Facsimile: (617) 542-8906

20799919.doc

BEST AVAILABLE COPY





FIGURE 1

BEST AVAILABLE COPY